



1 Pursuant to Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff Donovan J.  
2 Foster (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant United States of America (“Defendant”) (together, the  
3 “parties”), through their undersigned counsel, held their Rule 26(f) meet and confer  
4 conference on May 4, 2023. The parties hereby submit their Joint Rule 26(f) Report  
5 pursuant to Rule 26(f) and the Court’s Order dated March 29, 2023.

6 **I. Joint Rule 26(f) Report**

7 **a. Statement of the Case**

8 Plaintiff’s Statement:

9 Plaintiff alleges one count of negligence against the United States of America  
10 pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1346(b)(1), 2671-2680. In pertinent  
11 part, Plaintiff alleges that his 2009 benefits application was denied due to the Department  
12 of Veterans Affairs’ (the “VA”) negligent misplacement of his medical service records.  
13 This denial precluded Plaintiff from receiving medical, psychiatric, and psychological  
14 treatment for approximately 10 years and led to Plaintiff’s permanent and total disability.

15 Defendant’s Statement:

16 Defendant denies Plaintiff’s negligence allegation and denies that Plaintiff is  
17 entitled to any damages. Defendant anticipates that it will move for summary judgment on  
18 the grounds of failure to state a claim (Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6)) and lack of subject matter  
19 jurisdiction (Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(1)), as it already has with its currently pending Motion  
20 to Dismiss. *See* Dkt. 15-17, 22, and 23.

21 **b. Subject Matter Jurisdiction**

22 Plaintiff asserts that the Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter  
23 pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1346(b)(1), 2671-2680, and federal  
24 question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Defendant objections to this assertion;  
25 the Court is deprived of subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to the  
26 Veterans’ Judicial Review Act, 38 U.S.C. § 511(a). Defendant contends that the  
27 appropriate forum for Plaintiff’s claim is United States Court of Appeals for Veterans  
28 Claims.

1                   **c. Legal Issues**

2                   1. Whether Plaintiff can present a *prima facie* case for negligence.  
3                   2. Whether Plaintiff exhausted his administrative remedies, and whether  
4                   his allegations are timely.  
5                   3. Whether the Court has jurisdiction over this claim when evaluated  
6                   under the Veterans' Judicial Review Act, 38 U.S.C. § 511(a).

7                   **d. Damages**

8                   Plaintiff alleged in his Standard Form 95 that his damages were  
9                   \$92,288,776,984.00. Dkt. 1-1 at 2. Defendant denies that Plaintiff suffered any damages  
10                  as a result of the VA's alleged negligence and denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any  
11                  damages.

12                  **e. Parties and Evidence**

13                  Plaintiff's Parties, Witnesses, and Documents:

14                  Plaintiff's identification of witnesses is not yet complete. Plaintiff will disclose  
15                  those relevant witnesses as they become known to Plaintiff. Plaintiff identifies the  
16                  following key documents: Plaintiff's medical records from the VA, Plaintiff's VA benefits  
17                  applications, Plaintiff's request for Reconsideration and Appeal and the VA's application.

18                  Defendant's Parties, Witnesses, and Documents:

19                  Defendant's identification of witnesses is not yet complete. It will disclose relevant  
20                  witnesses when they are known. Defendant identifies the following as key documents in  
21                  this case: Plaintiff's VA benefits applications, Plaintiff's Request for Reconsideration and  
22                  appeal, and the VA's application denials.

23                  **f. Insurance**

24                  There is no applicable insurance in this action.

25                  **g. Manual for Complex Litigation**

26                  The parties agree that this case is not complex and that the Manual for Complex  
27                  Litigation should not be used.

28                  ///

1                   **h.      Motions**

2                   Defendant's Motion to Dismiss pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6)  
3 has been fully briefed. *See* Dkt. 15-17, and 22. On May 9, 2022, the Court took the hearing  
4 off calendar and took the matter under submission. *See* Dkt. 23.

5                   **i.      Dispositive Motions**

6                   Defendant anticipates moving for summary judgment on the grounds stated herein,  
7 at subsections (a) and (h).

8                   **j.      Status of Discovery**

9                   Discovery has not yet commenced. *See* Discovery Plan, below.

10                   **k.      Discovery Plan**

11                   The parties do not propose that any changes be made in the timing, form, or  
12 requirement for disclosures under Rule 26(a)(1). The parties will make initial disclosures  
13 required by Rule 26(a)(1) on or before June 8, 2022.

14                   The parties do not propose that any changes be made in the timing, form or  
15 requirement for disclosures under Rule 26(a)(2) or (3).

16                   The parties anticipate discovery relating to Plaintiff's claims of negligence against  
17 the Defendant, and Plaintiff's purported damages. More specifically, the parties anticipate  
18 discovery into Plaintiff's VA care and benefits, Plaintiff's medical and mental health  
19 treatment outside the VA, the causation of Plaintiff's alleged decline of his mental health,  
20 the extent of the decline of Plaintiff's mental health, and Plaintiff's current disability  
21 status.

22                   The parties intend to exchange written discovery and depose key witnesses as well  
23 as any lay or expert witnesses identified pursuant to Rule 26.

24                   The parties do not propose that discovery be conducted in phases or limited to or  
25 focused on particular issues. The parties agree to produce scanned or hard copies of all  
26 relevant documents. The parties do not request that the Court change the limitations on  
27 discovery imposed under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the Local Rules, nor do  
28

1 the parties propose other limitations. The parties reserve the right to make such requests  
2 prior to the discovery cut-off date.

3 The parties do not propose any special procedure regarding privilege or protection  
4 of trial-preparation material. The parties will file a stipulation for protective order should  
5 a protective order be necessary.

6 **l. Discovery Cut-off**

7 The parties propose that factual discovery should be completed on or before January  
8 19, 2024. This discovery cut-off date shall operate as the completion date for all factual  
9 discovery, including the resolution of all discovery motions.

10 **m. Expert Discovery**

11 The parties propose an initial expert disclosure date of February 2, 2024, a rebuttal  
12 expert disclosure deadline of February 16, 2024, and March 1, 2024, as the expert witness  
13 discovery cut-off date.

14 **n. Settlement Conference and Alternative Dispute Resolution**

15 The parties have not yet engaged in settlement discussions. The parties have agreed  
16 to Settlement Procedure No. 2, to appear before a neutral selected from the Court's  
17 Mediation Panel, pursuant to Local Rule 16-15.4.

18 **o. Trial Estimate**

19 Plaintiff contemplates calling 3-6 witnesses. At this time, Defendant anticipates 2-  
20 4 witnesses. The parties estimate that a bench trial will last approximately 2-3 days,  
21 including hearing on pretrial motions.

22 **p. Trial Counsel**

23 Plaintiff will be represented by Veronica M. Aguilar. Defendant will be represented  
24 by Assistant United States Attorney Jonathan Russell Blakey.

25 **q. Magistrate Judge**

26 The parties respectfully decline to consent to proceed before the Magistrate Judge  
27 at this time.

28 / / /

**r. Independent Expert or Master**

The parties do not believe this matter requires the appointment of an Independent Expert or Master.

## **S. Schedule Worksheet**

The parties' proposed schedule worksheet is attached hereto.

## t. Class Actions

Not applicable.

## u. Other Issues

The parties are not aware of any additional issues that the Court should address at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 11, 2023

# LAW OFFICES OF VERONICA M. AGUILAR

/s/ Veronica M. Aguilar  
VERONICA M. AGUILAR

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Donovan J. Foster

Dated: May 11, 2023

E. MARTIN ESTRADA  
United States Attorney  
DAVID M. HARRIS  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Chief, Civil Division  
JOANNE S. OSINOFF  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Chief, Complex and Defensive Litigation  
Section

/s/ Jonathan Russell Blakey  
JONATHAN RUSSELL BLAKEY  
Assistant United States Attorney

Attorneys for Defendant  
United States of America